8137 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.018$

3216 independent reflections

2396 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

A second polymorph of (2E)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one

Jerry P. Jasinski,^a Ray J. Butcher,^b* K. Veena,^c B. Narayana^c and H. S. Yathirajan^d

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Keene State College, 229 Main Street, Keene, NH 03435-2001, USA, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Howard University, 525 College Street NW, Washington DC 20059, USA, ^cDepartment of Studies in Chemistry, Mangalore University, Mangalagangotri 574 199, India, and ^dDepartment of Studies in Chemistry, University of Mysore, Manasagangotri, Mysore 570 006, India Correspondence e-mail: rbutcher99@yahoo.com

Received 11 June 2009; accepted 19 July 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 295 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.040; wR factor = 0.126; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.2.

The crystal structure of the title compound, $C_{18}H_{17}FO_4$, reported here is a polymorph of the structure first reported by Patil et al. [Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. Sci. Technol. Sect. A (2007), 461, 123–130]. It is a chalcone analog and consists of substituted phenyl rings bonded at the opposite ends of a propenone group, the biologically active region. The dihedral angle between the mean planes of the aromatic rings within the 4-fluorophenyl and trimethoxyphenyl groups is $28.7 (1)^{\circ}$ compared to $20.8 (6)^{\circ}$ in the published structure. The angles between the mean plane of the prop-2-ene-1-one group and the mean plane of aromatic rings within the 4-fluorophenyl and trimethoxyphenyl groups are 30.3(4) and $7.4(7)^{\circ}$, respectively, in contast to 10.7(3) and 12.36° for the polymorph. While the two 3-methoxy groups are in the plane of the trimethoxy-substituted ring, the 4-methoxy group is in a synclinical $[-sc = -78.1 \ (2)^{\circ}]$ or anticlinical $[+ac = 104.0 \ (4)^{\circ}]$ position, compared to a +sc [53.0 (4)°] or -ac [-132.4 (7)°] position. While no classical hydrogen bonds are present, weak intermolecular $C-H\cdots\pi$ -ring interactions are observed which contribute to the stability of the crystal packing. The two polymorphs crystallize in the same space group, $P2_1/c$, but have different cell parameters for the *a*, *b* and *c* axes and the β angle. A comparison of the molecular geometries of both polymorphs to a geometry optimized density functional theory (DFT) calculation at the B3-LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level for each structure provides additional support to these observations.

Related literature

For general background to the biological activity of similar compounds, see: Dimmock et al. (1999); Lin et al. (2002); Nakamura et al. (2002); Nowakowska (2007); Opletalova & Sedivy (1999). For related structures, see: Butcher et al. (2006, 2007); Chopra et al. (2007); Fun et al. (2008); Jasinski et al. (2009): Patil et al. (2007): Oiu et al. (2006): Teh et al. (2007). For density functional theory (DFT), see: Becke (1988, 1993); Hehre et al. (1986); Lee et al. (1988); Schmidt & Polik (2007). For a description of the Cambridge Structural Database, see: Allen (2002). For the GAUSSIAN03 program package, see: Frisch et al. (2004).



Experimental

Crystal data	
C ₁₈ H ₁₇ FO ₄	V = 1580.91 (4) Å ³
$M_r = 316.32$	Z = 4
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cu Ka radiation
a = 12.4250 (2) Å	$\mu = 0.85 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 8.6280(1) Å	T = 295 K
c = 14.9038 (2) Å	$0.47 \times 0.40 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$
$\beta = 98.3217 \ (12)^{\circ}$	

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Gemini R
diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrysAlis RED; Oxford
Diffraction, 2007)
$T_{\min} = 0.557, \ T_{\max} = 0.830$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$	211 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.126$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.10	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.13 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
3216 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.18 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C3-H3A\cdots Cg2^{i}$	0.93	2.91	3.6571 (19)	138

Symmetry code: (i) $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$. Cg2 is the centroid of the C10–C15 ring.

Data collection: CrysAlis Pro (Oxford Diffraction, 2007); cell refinement: CrysAlis RED (Oxford Diffraction, 2007); data reduction: CrysAlis RED; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

KV thanks the UGC-SAP for the award of a Junior Research Fellowship. RJB acknowledges the NSF MRI program (grant No. CHE-0619278) for funds to purchase an X-ray diffractometer.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SJ2632).

References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Becke, A. D. (1988). Phys. Rev. A38, 3098-100.
- Becke, A. D. (1993). J. Chem. Phys. 98, 5648-5652.
- Butcher, R. J., Jasinski, J. P., Yathirajan, H. S., Narayana, B. & Veena, K. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, 03833.
- Butcher, R. J., Yathirajan, H. S., Anilkumar, H. G., Sarojini, B. K. & Narayana, B. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, 01633–01635.
- Chopra, D., Mohan, T. P., Vishalakshi, B. & Guru Row, T. N. (2007). Acta Cryst. C63, o704–o710.
- Dimmock, J. R., Elias, D. W., Beazely, M. A. & Kandepu, N. M. (1999). Curr. Med. Chem. 6, 1125–1149.
- Frisch, M. J., et al. (2004). GAUSSIAN03. Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, CT, USA.
- Fun, H.-K., Jebas, S. R., Patil, P. S., D'Silva, E. D. & Dharmaprakash, S. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, 0935.
- Hehre, W. J., Random, L., Schleyer, P. & Pople, J. A. (1986). Ab Initio Molecular Orbital Theory. New York: Wiley.
- Jasinski, J. P., Butcher, R. J., Mayekar, A. N., Yathirajan, H. S. & Narayana, B. (2009). J. Chem. Crystallogr. 39, 157–162.

- Lee, C., Yang, W. & Parr, R. G. (1988). Phys. Rev. B, 37, 785-789.
- Lin, Y. M., Zhou, Y., Flavin, M. T., Zhou, L. M., Nie, W. & Chen, F. C. (2002). Bioorg. Med. Chem. 10, 2795–2802.
- Nakamura, C., Kawasaki, N., Miyataka, H., Jayachandran, E., Kim, I., Kirk, K. L., Taguchi, T., Takeuchi, Y., Hori, H. & Satoh, T. (2002). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 10, 699–706.
- Nowakowska, Z. (2007). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 42, 125-137.
- Opletalova, V. & Sedivy, D. (1999). Ceska Slov. Farm. 48, 252-255.
- Oxford Diffraction (2007). CrysAlisPro and CrysAlis RED. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, England.
- Patil, P. S., Shettigar, V., Dharmaprakash, S. M., Naveen, S., Sridhar, M. A. & Prasad, J. S. (2007). Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. Sci. Technol. Sect. A, 461, 123– 130.
- Qiu, X.-Y., Luo, Z.-G., Yang, S.-L. & Liu, W.-S. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, 03525– 03526.
- Schmidt, J. R. & Polik, W. F. (2007). WebMO Pro. WebMO, LLC: Holland, MI, USA; available from http://www.webmo.net.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Teh, J. B.-J., Patil, P. S., Fun, H.-K., Razak, I. A. & Dharmaprakash, S. M. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, 054–056.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o1965-o1966 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809028517]

A second polymorph of (2E)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one

J. P. Jasinski, R. J. Butcher, K. Veena, B. Narayana and H. S. Yathirajan

Comment

Chalcones are unique molecules with significant biological activity (Dimmock *et al.* 1999). Chalcones and their analogs have been shown to have potential antifungal (Opletalova & Sedivy, 1999), anti-tuberculosis (Lin *et al.* 2002), anti-infective and anti-inflammatory properties (Nowakowska, 2007). The synthesis and biological activity of some fluorinated chalcone derivatives have also been reported (Nakamura *et al.* 2002). Structures of a series of substituted (2E)-3-(2-fluoro-4-phenoxyphenyl)-1-phenylprop-2-en-1-ones have also been reported. (Chopra *et al.* 2007). As a continuation of our work on chalcones (Jasinski *et al.* 2009) and in view of the importance of fluoro-chalcones, this paper describes a new polymorphic form of (I), $C_{18}H_{17}FO_4$, (2E)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one, first reported by Patil *et al.* (2007). Substantial changes in the cell parameters provides solid support for the recognition of this new polymorphic form for (I).

The title compound,(I), is a chalcone analog and consists of substituted phenyl rings bonded at the opposite ends of a propenone moiety, the biologically active region (Fig. 1). The dihedral angle between the mean planes of the phenyl rings with the 4-fluorophenyl and trimethoxyphenyl substituents is 28.7 (1)° compared to 20.8 (6)° in the polymorph. The angles between the mean plane of the prop-2-ene-1-one group and those of the 4-fluorophenyl and trimethoxyphenyl rings are 30.3 (4)° and 7.4 (7)°, respectively, compared to 10.7 (3)° and 12.36° as reported by Patil *et al* (2007). While the two *meta* -methoxy groups are in the plane of the trimethoxy substituted phenyl ring, the *para* -methoxy group is in a synclinical (-sc) (torsion angle C(12)-C(13)-C(17)-O(3) = -78.1 (2)°) or anticlinical (+ac) (torsion angle C(14)-C(13)-C(17)-O(3) = 104.0 (4)°) orientation, compared to the (+sc) (torsion angle C(12)-C(13)-C(17)-O(3) = 53.0 (4)°) or -ac (torsion angle C(14)-C(13)-C(17)-O(3) = -132.4 (7)°) orientation as reported by Patil *et al*. (2007). While no classical hydrogen bonds are present, weak C(3)-H(3A)····Cg2 [C(3)-H(3A)····Cg2 = 138°; C(3)···Cg2 = 3.6571 (19) Å; x,3/2-y, -1/2+z; where Cg2 = C(10)-C(15)] C—H···*π*-ring intermolecular interactions are observed which contribute to the stability of the crystal packing (Fig. 2). The two polymorphs crystallize in the same space group, *P21/c*, but have different cell parameters for the *a* [12.4250 (2)Å vs 7.693 (0)Å], *b* [8.62800 (10)Å vs 15.232 (1)Å], *c* [14.9038 (2)Å vs 14.128 (1)Å] axes and β angle [98.3217 (12)° vs 106.60 (0)°].

A geometry optimized density functional theory (DFT) calculation (Schmidt & Polik, 2007) was performed for each of the two polymorphs, with the *GAUSSIAN03* program package (Frisch *et al.* 2004) employing the B3-LYP (Becke three parameter Lee-Yang-Parr) exchange correlation functional, which combines the hybrid exchange functional of Becke (Becke, 1988,1993) with the gradient-correlation functional of Lee, Yang and Parr (Lee *et al.* 1988) and the 6–311+G(d,p) basis set (Hehre *et al.* 1986). Starting geometries were taken from X-ray refinement data for (I) and from coordinates from the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) (Allen, 2002) for the Patil *et al.* (2007) structure (SIRDUT). Interestingly, both structures converged to nearly the same geometric state. The dihedral angle between the mean planes of the phenyl rings within the 4-fluorophenyl and trimethoxyphenyl groups became 18.0 (9)° compared to 19.3 (6)° (SIRDUT). The angle between the mean plane of the prop-2-ene-1-one group and the mean plane of phenyl rings within the 4-fluorophenyl and trimethoxyphenyl groups became 18.0 (9)° and 5.2 (3)°, respectively, *versus* 14.4 (9)° and 5.2 (5)° (SIRDUT), significantly different from that observed in the crystalline state for each polymorph. In addition, the *para* methoxy group became synclinical (*-sc*)

(torsion angle C(12)—C(13)—C(17)—O(3) = -77.8 (2)°) or anticlinical (+*ac*) (torsion angle C(14)—C(13)—C(17)—O(3) = 106.2 (8)°) in (I), compared to a (+*sc*) (torsion angle C(12)—C(13)—C(17)—O(3) = 79.2 (4)°°) or -*ac* (torsion angle C(14)—C(13)—C(17)—O(3) = -104.9 (5)°) in SIRDUT. It is clear that each polymeric form adjusted itself in different ways to achieve the DFT calculated geometric state. Bond distances and bond angles are relatively unchanged between the DFT calculated values and the observed values in (I) and SIRDUT with the exception of the *para* methoxy group as described earlier.

Experimental

The title compound was synthesized by the reported procedure (Patil *et al.*, 2007). The solid product obtained was filtered and recrystallized from ethanol. X-ray quality crystals were grown from ethyl acetate solution by slow evaporation (m.p.: 362-364 K). Analysis for $C_{18}H_{17}FO_4$: Found (calculated): C: 68.27 (68.35%); H:5.36 (5.42%).

Refinement

All of the H atoms were placed in their calculated positions and then refined using the riding model with C—H = 0.93-0.96 Å, and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.18-1.50 U_{eq}(C)$.

Figures



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of $C_{18}H_{17}FO_4$ showing the atom labeling scheme and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.

Fig. 2. Packing diagram of the title compound, (I), viewed down the *a* axis.

Fig. 3. The formation of the title compound.

(2E)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one

Crystal data	
C ₁₈ H ₁₇ FO ₄	$F_{000} = 664$
$M_r = 316.32$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.329 {\rm ~Mg~m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cu K α radiation, $\lambda = 1.54184$ Å

Hall symbol: -P 2ybc a = 12.4250 (2) Å b = 8.6280 (1) Å c = 14.9038 (2) Å $\beta = 98.3217 (12)^{\circ}$ $V = 1580.91 (4) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 4

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Gemini R diffractometer	3216 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	2396 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.018$
Detector resolution: 10.5081 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 77.9^{\circ}$
T = 295 K	$\theta_{\min} = 5.9^{\circ}$
ϕ and ω scans	$h = -14 \rightarrow 15$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlis RED; Oxford Diffraction, 2007)	$k = -10 \rightarrow 9$
$T_{\min} = 0.557, \ T_{\max} = 0.830$	$l = -18 \rightarrow 18$
8137 measured reflections	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.126$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0683P)^2 + 0.1035P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.10	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
3216 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.13 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
211 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.18 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: none

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Cell parameters from 4493 reflections

 $\theta = 4.3 - 77.3^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 0.85 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Prism, colorless

 $0.47 \times 0.40 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$

T = 295 K

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc*. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
O4	0.54332 (9)	0.78663 (17)	0.56646 (8)	0.0813 (4)
F	0.12194 (11)	0.38071 (16)	-0.04098 (8)	0.0982 (4)
01	0.01131 (9)	0.41222 (15)	0.35678 (8)	0.0737 (3)
02	0.33800 (9)	0.64264 (16)	0.79007 (7)	0.0751 (3)
03	0.51516 (9)	0.76879 (15)	0.74012 (8)	0.0716 (3)
C1	0.10184 (11)	0.43862 (16)	0.22896 (11)	0.0576 (3)
C2	0.16144 (13)	0.54012 (19)	0.18363 (12)	0.0684 (4)
H2A	0.1980	0.6219	0.2152	0.082*
C3	0.16769 (15)	0.5225 (2)	0.09261 (12)	0.0745 (4)
НЗА	0.2071	0.5920	0.0625	0.089*
C4	0.11452 (13)	0.4002 (2)	0.04776 (12)	0.0698 (4)
C5	0.05441 (14)	0.2970 (2)	0.08954 (14)	0.0765 (5)
H5A	0.0189	0.2149	0.0574	0.092*
C6	0.04768 (13)	0.31760 (19)	0.17996 (13)	0.0695 (4)
H6A	0.0061	0.2493	0.2090	0.083*
C7	0.09279 (11)	0.45582 (16)	0.32705 (11)	0.0591 (3)
C8	0.18590 (12)	0.52523 (19)	0.38636 (11)	0.0633 (4)
H8A	0.2441	0.5643	0.3605	0.076*
C9	0.18866 (11)	0.53327 (18)	0.47527 (11)	0.0612 (4)
H9A	0.1275	0.4964	0.4978	0.073*
C10	0.27643 (11)	0.59319 (17)	0.54241 (10)	0.0572 (3)
C11	0.26418 (11)	0.58419 (18)	0.63367 (10)	0.0605 (4)
H11A	0.2019	0.5398	0.6505	0.073*
C12	0.34453 (11)	0.64123 (18)	0.69953 (10)	0.0587 (3)
C13	0.43756 (12)	0.70811 (18)	0.67455 (10)	0.0591 (3)
C14	0.44971 (11)	0.71778 (19)	0.58304 (10)	0.0611 (4)
C15	0.36992 (12)	0.66065 (19)	0.51690 (10)	0.0611 (4)
H15A	0.3783	0.6670	0.4560	0.073*
C16	0.25626 (17)	0.5508 (3)	0.82150 (13)	0.0855 (5)
H16A	0.1858	0.5875	0.7950	0.128*
H16B	0.2630	0.5581	0.8863	0.128*
H16C	0.2647	0.4448	0.8044	0.128*
C17	0.60831 (14)	0.6733 (3)	0.75995 (13)	0.0836 (5)
H17A	0.5874	0.5746	0.7817	0.125*
H17B	0.6597	0.7219	0.8056	0.125*
H17C	0.6410	0.6586	0.7060	0.125*
C18	0.56292 (15)	0.7943 (3)	0.47481 (13)	0.0864 (6)
H18A	0.5608	0.6918	0.4496	0.130*
H18B	0.6332	0.8392	0.4727	0.130*
H18C	0.5080	0.8571	0.4403	0.130*
14				
Alomic alsplacemen	n narameters (A ⁻)			

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

arameters (A⁻) acement pe sp

U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
-	-	-	-	-	-

supplementary materials

O4	0.0623 (7)	0.1152 (10)	0.0677 (7)	-0.0271 (7)	0.0134 (5)	-0.0012 (6)
F	0.1049 (8)	0.1062 (9)	0.0868 (7)	-0.0161 (7)	0.0246 (6)	-0.0256 (6)
O1	0.0523 (6)	0.0813 (8)	0.0886 (8)	-0.0117 (5)	0.0137 (5)	0.0053 (6)
02	0.0684 (7)	0.0970 (8)	0.0630 (6)	-0.0017 (6)	0.0201 (5)	0.0015 (6)
O3	0.0601 (6)	0.0861 (8)	0.0679 (6)	-0.0012 (5)	0.0075 (5)	-0.0097 (5)
C1	0.0414 (6)	0.0491 (7)	0.0814 (9)	-0.0007 (6)	0.0063 (6)	-0.0042 (6)
C2	0.0646 (9)	0.0594 (9)	0.0810 (10)	-0.0177 (7)	0.0099 (7)	-0.0098 (7)
C3	0.0732 (10)	0.0666 (10)	0.0854 (11)	-0.0157 (8)	0.0173 (8)	-0.0035 (8)
C4	0.0600 (8)	0.0715 (10)	0.0785 (10)	-0.0014 (7)	0.0121 (7)	-0.0144 (8)
C5	0.0625 (9)	0.0657 (10)	0.1020 (13)	-0.0142 (8)	0.0148 (8)	-0.0260 (9)
C6	0.0559 (8)	0.0569 (8)	0.0981 (12)	-0.0121 (7)	0.0188 (8)	-0.0114 (8)
C7	0.0456 (7)	0.0498 (7)	0.0817 (9)	0.0004 (6)	0.0092 (6)	0.0014 (6)
C8	0.0479 (7)	0.0645 (9)	0.0787 (10)	-0.0036 (6)	0.0135 (6)	-0.0061 (7)
C9	0.0474 (7)	0.0602 (8)	0.0763 (9)	0.0007 (6)	0.0098 (6)	0.0070 (7)
C10	0.0474 (7)	0.0563 (8)	0.0683 (8)	0.0046 (6)	0.0094 (6)	0.0031 (6)
C11	0.0493 (7)	0.0617 (8)	0.0728 (9)	0.0039 (6)	0.0167 (6)	0.0076 (7)
C12	0.0522 (7)	0.0622 (8)	0.0633 (8)	0.0106 (6)	0.0137 (6)	0.0038 (6)
C13	0.0511 (7)	0.0624 (8)	0.0645 (8)	0.0053 (6)	0.0103 (6)	-0.0023 (6)
C14	0.0486 (7)	0.0690 (9)	0.0670 (9)	-0.0016 (6)	0.0128 (6)	0.0014 (7)
C15	0.0527 (7)	0.0716 (9)	0.0600 (8)	0.0002 (7)	0.0113 (6)	0.0022 (7)
C16	0.0891 (12)	0.0958 (13)	0.0777 (11)	-0.0033 (10)	0.0325 (9)	0.0095 (9)
C17	0.0593 (9)	0.1147 (15)	0.0747 (11)	0.0075 (10)	0.0026 (8)	-0.0003 (10)
C18	0.0681 (10)	0.1196 (16)	0.0749 (11)	-0.0239 (11)	0.0224 (8)	0.0043 (10)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O4—C14	1.3602 (18)	C8—H8A	0.9300
O4—C18	1.423 (2)	C9—C10	1.463 (2)
FC4	1.350 (2)	С9—Н9А	0.9300
O1—C7	1.2218 (18)	C10—C11	1.393 (2)
O2—C12	1.3635 (18)	C10-C15	1.400 (2)
O2—C16	1.420 (2)	C11—C12	1.385 (2)
O3—C13	1.3734 (19)	C11—H11A	0.9300
O3—C17	1.417 (2)	C12—C13	1.390 (2)
C1—C2	1.384 (2)	C13—C14	1.396 (2)
C1—C6	1.391 (2)	C14—C15	1.384 (2)
C1—C7	1.490 (2)	C15—H15A	0.9300
C2—C3	1.378 (2)	C16—H16A	0.9600
C2—H2A	0.9300	C16—H16B	0.9600
C3—C4	1.367 (2)	C16—H16C	0.9600
С3—НЗА	0.9300	C17—H17A	0.9600
C4—C5	1.368 (3)	С17—Н17В	0.9600
C5—C6	1.374 (3)	C17—H17C	0.9600
С5—Н5А	0.9300	C18—H18A	0.9600
С6—Н6А	0.9300	C18—H18B	0.9600
С7—С8	1.477 (2)	C18—H18C	0.9600
C8—C9	1.322 (2)		
C14—O4—C18	117.66 (13)	C12-C11-C10	120.19 (13)
C12—O2—C16	117.99 (14)	C12—C11—H11A	119.9

supplementary materials

C13—O3—C17	113.25 (13)	C10-C11-H11A	119.9
C2—C1—C6	118.10 (15)	O2—C12—C11	124.36 (13)
C2—C1—C7	122.51 (13)	O2—C12—C13	115.61 (13)
C6—C1—C7	119.38 (13)	C11—C12—C13	119.98 (13)
C3—C2—C1	121.37 (15)	O3—C13—C12	119.54 (13)
C3—C2—H2A	119.3	O3—C13—C14	120.55 (13)
C1—C2—H2A	119.3	C12—C13—C14	119.88 (14)
C4—C3—C2	118.33 (16)	O4—C14—C15	124.71 (14)
С4—С3—НЗА	120.8	O4—C14—C13	114.82 (13)
С2—С3—НЗА	120.8	C15—C14—C13	120.47 (13)
FC4C3	118.60 (16)	C14—C15—C10	119.45 (14)
FC4C5	118.96 (15)	C14—C15—H15A	120.3
C3—C4—C5	122.45 (16)	C10-C15-H15A	120.3
C4—C5—C6	118.50 (15)	O2-C16-H16A	109.5
C4—C5—H5A	120.8	O2-C16-H16B	109.5
С6—С5—Н5А	120.8	H16A—C16—H16B	109.5
C5—C6—C1	121.24 (15)	O2—C16—H16C	109.5
С5—С6—Н6А	119.4	H16A—C16—H16C	109.5
С1—С6—Н6А	119.4	H16B—C16—H16C	109.5
O1—C7—C8	121.74 (15)	O3—C17—H17A	109.5
O1—C7—C1	120.62 (13)	O3—C17—H17B	109.5
C8—C7—C1	117.64 (12)	H17A—C17—H17B	109.5
C9—C8—C7	121.69 (14)	O3—C17—H17C	109.5
С9—С8—Н8А	119.2	Н17А—С17—Н17С	109.5
С7—С8—Н8А	119.2	H17B—C17—H17C	109.5
C8—C9—C10	127.76 (14)	O4C18H18A	109.5
С8—С9—Н9А	116.1	O4C18H18B	109.5
С10—С9—Н9А	116.1	H18A—C18—H18B	109.5
C11—C10—C15	120.04 (13)	O4—C18—H18C	109.5
C11—C10—C9	118.17 (13)	H18A—C18—H18C	109.5
C15—C10—C9	121.77 (13)	H18B—C18—H18C	109.5
C6—C1—C2—C3	-0.2 (2)	C16—O2—C12—C11	14.4 (2)
C7—C1—C2—C3	-179.40 (14)	C16—O2—C12—C13	-168.15 (15)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-0.9 (3)	C10-C11-C12-O2	177.51 (14)
C2—C3—C4—F	-178.84 (16)	C10-C11-C12-C13	0.2 (2)
C2—C3—C4—C5	1.0 (3)	C17—O3—C13—C12	104.04 (17)
FC4C5C6	179.78 (15)	C17—O3—C13—C14	-78.13 (19)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-0.1 (3)	O2—C12—C13—O3	0.4 (2)
C4—C5—C6—C1	-1.0 (3)	C11—C12—C13—O3	177.92 (13)
C2—C1—C6—C5	1.2 (2)	O2-C12-C13-C14	-177.47 (13)
C7—C1—C6—C5	-179.61 (15)	C11—C12—C13—C14	0.1 (2)
C2—C1—C7—O1	149.90 (16)	C18—O4—C14—C15	-2.9 (3)
C6—C1—C7—O1	-29.3 (2)	C18—O4—C14—C13	177.40 (16)
C2—C1—C7—C8	-30.8 (2)	O3—C13—C14—O4	1.6 (2)
C6—C1—C7—C8	150.00 (14)	C12—C13—C14—O4	179.41 (14)
01—C7—C8—C9	4.9 (2)	O3—C13—C14—C15	-178.09 (15)
C1—C7—C8—C9	-174.35 (14)	C12—C13—C14—C15	-0.3 (2)
C7—C8—C9—C10	177.60 (14)	O4—C14—C15—C10	-179.47 (15)
C8—C9—C10—C11	-177.15 (15)	C13-C14-C15-C10	0.2 (2)

C8—C9—C10—C15 C15—C10—C11—C12 C9—C10—C11—C12	4.0 (2) -0.3 (2) -179.18 (14)	C11—C10—C15—C14 C9—C10—C15—C14		0.1 (2) 178.96 (14)
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) D—H···A C3—H3A···Cg2 ⁱ Symmetry codes: (i) x , $-y+3/2$, $z-1/2$.	<i>D</i> —Н 0.93	Н… <i>А</i> 2.91	<i>D…A</i> 3.6571 (19)	<i>D</i> —Н··· <i>А</i> 138







Fig. 2

